

MATKO HIRE PLANT RISK ASSESSMENT - Log Splitter

					•					
Completed by: S	Steve Laidlaw, OH	IS Services :			Date: 18.1.23					
Owner of plant/ed	quipment: Matko	Hire								
Owner's representative present: Chris Smith										
Role: Director										
Location address	: 1101 – 1107 R	aglan Parade, W	/arrnambool Vic	3280						
Plant/Equipment	name : Log Split	ter								
Make/Description: Whitlands Engineering WS 150 Superaxe 21 T										
Serial number:	NA		Date of purchase: NK							
Registration Requ	uired: Yes	Registration No	: W74 - 926	Reg Expiry Date: NK						
Operator's trainin	g/licence requiren	nents: Must be fu	Illy competent &	qualified	to operate					
Manufacturer's Ha	andbook	Location: Conta	iner on plant	Maintenance/Service Agreement:						
If Yes, servicing of	company's name:	NA -Serviced int	ternally							
Maintenance Free	Maintenance Frequency: As required or according to manufacturer's instructions									
DATE	DATE DESCRIPTION OF SERVICE									

Servicing & maintenance completed by the company's mechanic.
Records kept in workshop / administration office

Is there a documented Safe Operating Procedure? Yes - Manufacturer's Operator's Manual Noise Assessment completed? No

Date	Level dBA	dBC	Comment			
			See manufacturer's information			
			Hearing protection required			

CURRENT EMERGENCY SYSTEM								
Hazard warning stickers on surfaces								

CURRENT GUARDING	
Engine, exhaust & belt guarding	

POSSIBLE HAZARD TYPI	S LIKEL	.IHOOD OF	OCCURR	ENCE	POSS	IBLE CO	NSEQUE	NCE		RISK RA	TING	
	Highly Unlikely	Unlikely	Likely	Very Likely	Insignificant	Minor Injury	Major Injury	Extreme	Low	Moderate	High	Acute
1. Entanglement			_									
1.1 Can any materials become entangled with moving part the plant	s of		✓				✓				✓	
2. Crushing												
2.1 Can anyone be crushed duto: a. Material falling off plar		1	√	1		-	√	1		T		
a. Material falling off plar b. Unexpected movemer plant			V			✓	v		√		√	
c. Lack of capacity for plate to be slowed or stopped	ed INA				NA				NA			
d. The plant tipping or ro	ling	✓					✓				✓	
e. Part of the plant collapsing	✓				✓				✓			
f. coming in contact with moving part of the plan during testing, operation etc.	nt		✓				✓				✓	
g. being thrown off or uno plant	der NA				NA				NA			
h. being trapped between plant & materials or fix structures	n ed		√				✓				✓	
3. Cutting, Stabbing & Puncturing												
3.1 Can anyone be cut, stabbe punctured due to:	d or											
coming in contact with moving parts of the platesting, operation etc.			✓				✓				✓	
b. coming in contact with sharp/flying objects			✓				✓				✓	
c. the plant, parts of or w pieces disintegrate	ork NA				NA				NA			
d. work pieces being eje			✓				✓				✓	
e. the mobility of the plan	nt NA				NA				NA			
f. uncontrolled or unexpected movement of plant		√					✓				√	

P	OSSIBLE HAZARD TYPES	LIKEL	IHOOD OF	OCCURR	ENCE	POSS	IBLE CO	NSEQUE	NCE		RISK RA	TING	
		Highly Unlikely	Unlikely	Likely	Very Likely	Insignificant	Minor Injury	Major Injury	Extreme	Low	Moderate	High	Acute
4.	Shearing												
	Can any body parts be sheared between two parts of the plant			✓				✓				✓	
5.													
	Can anyone be burnt due to contact with moving parts or surfaces, or material handled by plant		✓				✓				✓		
6.	Striking												
	Can anyone be struck by moving objects due to:				_								
	uncontrolled or unexpected movement of the plant		✓				✓			✓			
	the plant, parts of or work pieces disintegrating			✓				✓				✓	
	work pieces being ejected				✓			✓				✓	
	mobility of the plant	NA				NA				NA			
	High Pressure Fluid												
7.1	Can anyone come into contact with fluids under high pressure, due to plant failure or misuse.		√				✓			✓			
8.	Electrical												
8.1	Can anyone be injured by electrical shock or burnt due to:												
a.	the plant contacting live electrical conductors	NA				NA				NA			
b.	the plant working too close to electrical conductors	NA				NA				NA			
C.	overload of electrical circuits	NA				NA				NA			
	damaged or poorly maintained leads and cables	NA				NA				NA			
	damaged electrical switches	NA				NA				NA			
	water near electrical equipment	NA				NA				NA			
g.	lack of isolation procedures	NA				NA				NA			

POSSIBLE HAZARD TYPES	LIKEL	HOOD OF	OCCURR	ENCE	POSSIBLE CONSEQUENCE			RISK RATING				
	Highly Unlikely	Unlikely	Likely	Very Likely	Insignificant	Minor Injury	Major Injury	Extreme	Low	Moderate	High	Acute
9. Explosion												
9.1 Can anyone be injured by explosion of gases, vapours, liquids, dusts or other substances, triggered by the operation of the plant or by material handled by the plant. Only if ruptured or struck services eg. Gas pipeline	NA				NA				NA			
10. Slipping, Tripping and Falling												
10.1 Can anyone using the plant, or in the vicinity of the plant, slip, trip or fall due to:	Not App	olicable										
a. uneven or slippery work surfaces	NA				NA				NA			
b. poor housekeeping, e.g. spillage not cleaned up	NA				NA				NA			
c. obstacles placed in the vicinity of the plant	NA				NA				NA			
10.2 Can anyone fall from height due to:	NA			1	_	1					•	•
a. lack of a proper platform	NA				NA				NA			
b. lack of proper stairs or ladders	NA				NA				NA			
c. lack of guardrails or other edge protection	NA				NA				NA			
d. unprotected holes, penetrations or gaps	NA				NA				NA			
e. poor floor or walking surfaces, e.g. not slip resistant	NA				NA				NA			
f. steep walking surfaces	NA				NA				NA			
g. collapse of the supporting structure	NA				NA				NA			

POSSIBLE HAZARD TYPES	HOOD OF OCCURRENCE			POSSIBLE CONSEQUENCE				RISK RATING				
	Highly Unlikely	Unlikely	Likely	Very Likely	Insignificant	Minor Injury	Major Injury	Extreme	Low	Moderate	High	Acute
11. Ergonomic												
11.1 Can anyone be injured due to:												
a. poorly designed seating	NA											
b. repetitive body movement			✓			✓				✓		
c. constrained body posture, e.g. excessive effort	NA											
d. design deficiency causing mental stress	NA											

12. Other information

How is the plant cleaned?

- High pressure water cleaner
- In accordance with manufacturer's instructions

Do guards have to be removed to clean the plant?

No

Are there any reasonably foreseeable abnormal operating conditions? (e.g. jam ups)

- Over large logs being lifted onto feeding rack causing plant to be unbalanced
- Excessively hard logs being placed under splitting blade, causing jams

Other comments / notes:

- Safety feature is that operator must use two hands two push two levers down to activate the splitter blade, aimed to negate one hand being potentially placed in the path of the blade
- Opening on top of drive shaft guard next to motor is potentially an entrapment hazard- Rated as high risk
- All hazards identified in this assessment, including 'High' or 'Acute' risk ratings, relate to the operation of the plant. For the purposes of the ratings provided, it is assumed that that the operators will have appropriate high level controls in place. These would include only being operated by qualified and competent operators who:
 - operate the plant in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions & safe operating procedures
 - complete pre-start checklists
 - ensure the plant is well maintained and regularly serviced
 - check and continually monitor site conditions for hazards to themselves and bystanders such as pedestrians
 - ensure that during operations, all pedestrians or 'bystanders' are kept outside the operating danger zone of the plant
 - immediately shut down the plant in the event of a breakdown which requires repair, and that if ignored could escalate into a major safety incident
 - Wear appropriate personal protective clothing & equipment

PLANT RISK ASSESSMENT MATRIX

Step 1:Determine Likelihood

What is the possibility that the effect will occur?

	Criteria	Description
Very likely	Expected in most circumstances	Effect is a common result
Likely	Will probably occur in most circumstances	Effect is known to have occurred at this site or it has happened
Unlikely	Could occur at some time	Effect is not likely to occur, operators have not heard of it happening
Highly unlikely	May occur only in exceptional circumstances	Effect is practically impossible

Step 3: Determine the risk score

(C)	0	r-a		ш		6.7	

Consequence										
Likelihood	Insignificant	Minor	Major	Extreme						
Very Likely	3 High	3 High	4 Acute	4 Acute						
Likely	2 Moderate	2 Moderate	4 Acute	4 Acute						
Unlikely	1 Low	1 Low	3 High	4 Acute						
Highly Unlikely	1 Low	1 Low	3 High	3 High						

Step 2:Determine Consequence

What will be the expected effect?

Level of Effect	Example of each level
Insignificant/ Acceptable	No effect – or so minor that effect is acceptable
Minor Injury	First Aid treatment only; no lost time injury
Major Injury	Hospital admittance; extensive injuries; lost time injury > 7 days; Permanent Total Disability injury; death
Extreme Injury	Multiple Permanent Total Disability injuries; death or multiple deaths

Step 4: Record risk score on worksheet

Note - Risk scores have no absolute value and should only be used for comparison and to engender discussion.

Score	Action
4 A: Acute	DO NOT PROCEED. Requires immediate attention. Introduce further high-level controls to lower the risk level. Re-assess before proceeding.
3 H: High	Review before commencing work. Introduce new controls and/or maintain high-level controls to lower the risk level. Monitor frequently to ensure control measures are working.
2 M: Moderate	Maintain control measures. Proceed with operating plant. Monitor and review regularly, or if safe operating procedures change.
1 L: Low	Record and monitor Proceed with work. Review regularly, or if safe operating procedures change.