

MATKO HIRE

PLANT RISK ASSESSMENT - ASV RT - Posi - Track 25 Loader

Completed by: Steve Laidlaw, OHS Services : Date: 18 January 2023						
Owner of plant/equipment: Matko I	Hire					
Owners's representative present: 0	Chris Smith					
Role: Director						
Location address: 1101 – 1107 Rag	glan Parade, Wai	rrnambool Vic 3	280			
Plant/Equipment name : ASV RT -	- 25 Posi-Track	Loader				
Make/Description: ASV						
Serial number: NA		Date of purcha	se: Appro	ox 2020		
Registration Required: Yes	Registration No	: NA	Reg Exp	iry Date: N/A		
Operator's training/licence requiren	nents: Must be fu	Illy competent &	qualified	to operate		
Manufacturer's Handbook available: YesLocation: Main officeMaintenance/Service Agreement: No						
If Yes, servicing company's name: NA -Serviced internally						
Maintenance Frequency: Every 250hrs						

DATE	DESCRIPTION OF SERVICE
	Service records held by Matko Hire

Is there a documented Safe Operating Procedure? Yes - Manufacturer's Operator's Manual

Noise Assessment completed? No

Date	Level dBA	dBC	Comment
			See manufacturer's information
			Hearing protection recommended

CURRENT EMERGENCY SYSTEM					
Certified rollover protection Reversing alarm & horn					
Seat belts					
Safety lockout / park brake	Hazard warning stickers on external surfaces				
	Operational hazard stickers in cabin				

CURRENT GUARDING Engine & exhaust guarding

POSSIBLE HAZARD TYPES	LIKEL	IHOOD OF	OCCURR	ENCE	POSS		NSEQUE	NCE		RISK RA	TING	
	Highly Unlikely	Unlikely	Likely	Very Likely	Insignificant	Minor Injury	Major Injury	Extreme	Low	Moderate	High	Acute
1. Entanglement											-	
1.1 Can any materials become entangled with moving parts of the plant	~				~				~			
2. Crushing												
2.1 Can anyone be crushed due to:				•		•						
a. Material falling off plant	~				~				~			
b. Unexpected movement of plant	~						~				~	
c. Lack of capacity for plant to be slowed or stopped	~						~				~	
d. The plant tipping or rolling over		~					~				~	
e. Part of the plant collapsing		~					~				~	
 f. coming in contact with moving part of the plant during testing, operation etc. 		~					~				~	
g. being thrown off or under plant		~					~				~	
h. being trapped between plant & materials or fixed structures		~					~				~	
3. Cutting, Stabbing & Puncturing												
3.1 Can anyone be cut, stabbed or punctured due to:										·		
 a. coming in contact with moving parts of the plant testing, operation etc. 		>					•				•	
 coming in contact with sharp/flying objects 	~					~			>			
C. the plant, parts of or work pieces disintegrate	~					~			>			
d. work pieces being ejected	~				~				~			
e. the mobility of the plant		~					~				~	
f. uncontrolled or unexpected movement of plant		~					•				~	

POSSIBLE HAZARD TYPES	LIKEL	IHOOD OF	OCCURR	ENCE	POSS	BLE CC	NSEQUE	NCE		RISK RA	TING	
	Highly Unlikely	Unlikely	Likely	Very Likely	Insignificant	Minor Injury	Major Injury	Extreme	Low	Moderate	High	Acute
4. Shearing							-					
 4.1 Can any body parts be sheared between two parts of the plant 5. Friction 	~				~				~			
5.1 Can anyone be burnt due to contact with moving parts or surfaces, or material handled by plant	~				~				>			
6. Striking												
6.1 Can anyone be struck by moving objects due to:a. uncontrolled or unexpected										1		
movement of plant		~					✓				~	
b. the plant, parts off or work pieces disintegrate		~					>				~	
c. work pieces being ejected		✓				~			~			
d. mobility of the plant		~					~		~		~	
7. High Pressure Fluid												
7.1 Can anyone come into contact with fluids under high pressure, due to plant failure or misuse.		>				~			>			
8. Electrical												
8.1 Can anyone be injured by electrical shock or burnt due to:												
a. the plant contacting live electrical conductors		>					>				•	
b. the plant working too close to electrical conductors		•					*				~	
c. overload of electrical circuits	~				~				>			
d. damaged or poorly maintained leads and cables	~				>				>			
e. damaged electrical switches	~				~				>			
f. water near electrical equipment	~				~				>			
g. lack of isolation procedures	~				~				•			

P	OSSIBLE HAZARD TYPES	LIKEL	IHOOD OF	OCCURR	ENCE	POSS	IBLE CO	NSEQUE	NCE		RISK RA	TING	
		Highly Unlikely	Unlikely	Likely	Very Likely	Insignificant	Minor Injury	Major Injury	Extreme	Low	Moderate	High	Acute
9.	Explosion		-	-								Ī	
	Can anyone be injured by explosion of gases, vapours, liquids, dusts or other substances, triggered by the operation of the plant or by material handled by the plant. Only if ruptured or stuck services eg. Gas pipeline		~					~				~	
	Slipping, Tripping and ling												
	1 Can anyone using the plant, or in the vicinity of the plant, slip, trip or fall due to:												
a.	uneven or slippery work surfaces		~				~			~			
b.	poor housekeeping, e.g. spillage not cleaned up	N/A											
C.	obstacles placed in the vicinity of the plant	N/A											
10.:	2 Can anyone fall from a height due to:					-							
а.	lack of a proper platform	N/A											
b.	lack of proper stairs or	N/A											
C.	ladders	N/A											
d.	lack of guardrails or other edge protection	N/A											
	unprotected holes, penetrations or gaps	N/A											
f.	poor floor or walking surfaces, e.g. slip resistant	N/A											
g.	steep walking surfaces	N/A											
h.	collapse of the supporting structure	N/A											

POSSIBLE HAZARD TYPES	LIKEL	IHOOD OF	OCCURR	ENCE	POSS	IBLE CO	NSEQUE	NCE		RISK RA	TING	
	Highly Unlikely	Unlikely	Likely	Very Likely	Insignificant	Minor Injury	Major Injury	Extreme	Low	Moderate	High	Acute
11. Ergonomic		-					<u>-</u>					
11.1 Can anyone be injured due to:												
a. poorly designed seating	~				~				~			
b. repetitive body movement		>			~				~			
 c. constrained body posture, e.g. excessive effort 	~				~				~			
d. designed deficiency causing mental stress	~				~				~			

12. Other information

How is the plant cleaned?
- In accordance with manufacturer's instructions
eg. High pressure water cleaner
Do guards have to be removed to clean the plant? No
Are there any reasonably foreseeable abnormal operating conditions? (e.g. jam ups)
- Operating on steep or slippery slopes increases the likelihood of rollover
- Variable soil and and / or environmental conditions
Ctriking underground convices
- Striking underground services
Other comments / notes:
- Standard attachment: Bucket
- Rubber tracks, open cabin
- Transported on trailer which has tie down chains & loading ramps which are potentially moderate manual handling hazards
 Although the machine has a safety park/brake lever which must be engaged for the machine to operate, unattached seatbelt does not de-activate the machine, but must be worn to help protect the operator in the event of a rollover
 Those hazards which have been rated 'High' or 'Acute' risk ratings in this assessment relate to the operation of the plant rather than to this static risk assessment. For the purposes of the ratings provided, it is assumed that that operators will have appropriate high level controls in place. These would include only being operated by qualified and competent operators who : operate the plant in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions & safe operating procedures complete pre-start checklists check and continually monitor site conditions for hazards to themselves and bystanders such as pedestrians

PLANT RISK ASSESSMENT MATRIX

Step 1:Determine Likelihood

What is the possibility that the effect will occur?

	Criteria	Description
Almost certain	Expected in most circumstances	Effect is a common result
Very Likely	Will probably occur in most circumstances	Effect is known to have occurred at this site or it has happened
Unlikely	Could occur at some time	Effect is not likely to occur, operators have not heard of it happening
Highly unlikely	May occur only in exceptional circumstances	Effect is practically impossible

Step 3: Determine the risk score

		Consequence		
Likelihood	Insignificant	Minor	Major	Extreme
Very Likely	3 High	3 High	4 Acute	4 Acute
Likely	2 Moderate	2 Moderate	4 Acute	4 Acute
Unlikely	1 Low	1 Low	3 High	4 Acute
Highly Unlikely	1 Low	1 Low	3 High	3 High

Step 2:Determine Consequence

What will be the expected effect?

	Example of a set level
Level of Effect	Example of each level
Insignificant/ Acceptable	No effect – or so minor that effect is acceptable
Minor Injury	First Aid treatment only; no lost time injury
Major Injury	Hospital admittance; extensive injuries; lost time injury > 7 days; Permanent Total Disability injury; death
Extreme Injury	Multiple Permanent Total Disability injuries; death or multiple deaths

Step 4: Record risk score on worksheet

Note – Risk scores have no absolute value and should only be used for comparison and to engender discussion.

Score	Action
4 A: Acute	DO NOT PROCEED. Requires immediate attention. Introduce further high-level controls to lower the risk level. Re-assess before proceeding.
3 H: High	Review before commencing work. Introduce new controls and/or maintain high-level controls to lower the risk level. Monitor frequently to ensure control measures are working.
2 M: Moderate	Maintain control measures. Proceed with operating plant. Monitor and review regularly, or if operating procedures change.
1 L: Low	Record and monitor Proceed with work. Review regularly, and if the plant o safe operating procedures change.