

MATKO HIRE PLANT RISK ASSESSMENT – Toro W320-D 'Dingo'

Completed by:	Completed by: Steve Laidlaw, OHS Services : Date: 18 January 2023							
Owner of plant	/equipment: M	latko Hire						
Owners's repre	Owners's representative present: Chris Smith							
Role: Director	Role: Director							
Location addre	ess: 1101 – 110	7 Raglan Pa	rade, Warrnambool Vid	3280				
Plant/Equipme	nt name : Tor	o W320-D Se	ries II Compact Utilit	y Loader ('I	Dingo')			
Make/Description: Toro Australia								
Serial number:	NA		Date of pure	chase: Appro	ox. 2017			
Registration Re	equired: NA	Regist	ration No: NA	Reg Exp	iry Date: N/A			
Operator's train	ning/licence re	quirements: N	flust be fully competen	t & qualified	to operate			
Manufacturer's available: Yes	Handbook	Locati	on: Main office		nance/Service Agreement: NA			
If Yes, servicin	g company's n	ame: NA -Se	erviced internally					
Maintenance F	requency: Ev	ery 250hrs						
DATE			DESCRIPTION O	F SERVICE				
	Service	records held	by Matko Hire					
Is there a docu	mented Safe (Operating Pro	cedure? Yes - Manuf	acturer's Op	perator's Manual			
Noise Assessn	nent completed	d? No		·				
Date	Level dBA	dBC		Comm	ent			
			See manufacturer's					
			Hearing protection r	ecommende	ed			
		CHIDDEN	T EMEDOENCY SY	CTEM				
	CURRENT EMERGENCY SYSTEM							
Releasing opera	Releasing operation levers stops machine							
Hazard warning	Hazard warning stickers on external surfaces							
Engine & exha	ust guarding	CU	RRENT GUARDING					
	<u> </u>							

POSSIBLE HAZARD TYPE	S LIKEL	.IHOOD OF	OCCURR	ENCE	POSS	IBLE CO	NSEQUE	NCE	RISK RATING			
	Highly Unlikely	Unlikely	Likely	Very Likely	Insignificant	Minor Injury	Major Injury	Extreme	Low	Moderate	High	Acute
1. Entanglement												
1.1 Can any materials become entangled with moving parts the plant	of 🗸				~				*			
2. Crushing												
2.1 Can anyone be crushed du to: a. Material falling off plan		1		1				1	,	1		
b. Unexpected movemen	*	~			→		~		~		•	
c. Lack of capacity for pla to be slowed or stoppe		~				>			>			
d. The plant tipping or rol over	ing	~				>			>			
e. Part of the plant collapsing	~				✓				>			
f. coming in contact with moving part of the plar during testing, operation etc.	t n				•				•			
g. being thrown off or und plant	er	~					>				~	
h. being trapped betweer plant & materials or fixe structures	ed	~					>				~	
3. Cutting, Stabbing & Puncturing												
3.1 Can anyone be cut, stabbed punctured due to:	or											
coming in contact with moving parts of the platesting, operation etc.	nt	•					>				•	
b. coming in contact with sharp/flying objects	~					>			>			
c. the plant, parts of or w pieces disintegrate	ork					>			>			
d. work pieces being ejec					>				>			
e. the mobility of the plan	t	~					>				~	
f. uncontrolled or unexpected movement of plant		~					~				•	

POSSIBLE HAZARD TYPES LIKELIHOOD OF OCCURRENCE			POSS	IBLE CO	NSEQUE	NCE	RISK RATING					
	Highly Unlikely Likely Very Unlikely Likely			Very Likely	Insignificant	Minor Injury	Major Injury	Extreme	Low	Moderate	High	Acute
4. Shearing												
4.1 Can any body parts be sheared between two parts of the plant5. Friction	,				~				•			
5.1 Can anyone be burnt due to contact with moving parts or surfaces, or material handled by plant	v				•				~			
6. Striking												
Can anyone be struck by moving objects due to: uncontrolled or unexpected movement of plant	1	~					~				~	
b. the plant, parts off or work pieces disintegrate	~				~				>			
c. work pieces being ejected		>				>			>			
d. mobility of the plant		✓					>		~		~	
7. High Pressure Fluid												
7.1 Can anyone come into contact with fluids under high pressure, due to plant failure of misuse.		•				>			>			
8. Electrical												
8.1 Can anyone be injured by electrical shock or burnt due to:												
a. the plant contacting live electrical conductors		~					>				~	
b. the plant working too close to electrical conductors		>					>				>	
c. overload of electrical circuits	~				✓				>			
d. damaged or poorly maintained leads and cables	· ·				~				>			
e. damaged electrical switches	~				✓				>			
f. water near electrical equipment	~				✓				>			
g. lack of isolation procedures	~				✓				>			

POSSIBLE HAZARD TYPES	LIKEL	HOOD OF	OCCURR	ENCE	POSS	IBLE CO	NSEQUE	NCE		RISK RA	TING	
	Highly Unlikely	Unlikely	Likely	Very Likely	Insignificant	Minor Injury	Major Injury	Extreme	Low	Moderate	High	Acute
9. Explosion												
9.1 Can anyone be injured by explosion of gases, vapours, liquids, dusts or other substances, triggered by the operation of the plant or by material handled by the plant. Only if ruptured or stuck services eg. Gas pipeline		>					•				•	
10. Slipping, Tripping and Falling												
10.1 Can anyone using the plant, or in the vicinity of the plant, slip, trip or fall due to:												
a. uneven or slippery work surfaces		>				~			~			
 b. poor housekeeping, e.g. spillage not cleaned up 	N/A											
c. obstacles placed in the vicinity of the plant	N/A											
10.2 Can anyone fall from a height due to:												
a. lack of a proper platform	N/A											
b. lack of proper stairs or	N/A											
c. ladders	N/A											
d. lack of guardrails or other edge protection	N/A											
e. unprotected holes, penetrations or gaps	N/A											
f. poor floor or walking surfaces, e.g. slip resistant	N/A											
g. steep walking surfaces	N/A											
h. collapse of the supporting structure	N/A											

POSSIBLE HAZARD TYPES	LIKEL	IHOOD OF	OCCURR	ENCE	POSS	BLE CO	NSEQUE	NCE		RISK RA	TING	
	Highly Unlikely	Unlikely	Likely	Very Likely	Insignificant	Minor Injury	Major Injury	Extreme	Low	Moderate	High	Acute
11. Ergonomic												
11.1 Can anyone be injured due to:												
a. poorly designed seating	NA											
b. repetitive body movement			~			<				~		
c. constrained body posture, e.g. excessive effort	NA											
d.designed deficiency causing mental stress	NA											

12. Other information

How is the plant cleaned?

- In accordance with manufacturer's instructions eg. High pressure water cleaner

Do guards have to be removed to clean the plant?

No

Are there any reasonably foreseeable abnormal operating conditions? (e.g. jam ups)

- Operating on steep or slippery slopes increases the likelihood of rollover
- Variable soil and and / or environmental conditions
- Striking underground services
- Transported on trailer with manually lifted ramps: potentially a moderate manual handling hazard

Other comments / notes:

- Standard attachments: Bucket set, chain trencher, auger x 2, rotary hoe
- Rubber tyres
- Transported on trailer which has tie down chains & loading ramps which are potentially moderate manual handling hazards
- Plant is transported on a tandem trailer folding loading ramps. A risk assessment of loading/unloading was not completed. Operators must be competent to load and unload the plant. Attachments must always be left on for loading to balance the machine when accessing on ramps to prevent it tipping. Machine must be secured satisfactorily. Regular checks should be made of tie-down straps.
- Operators should take regular breaks to prevent the constant machine movement causing repetitive strain or musculoskeletal injuries.
- Those hazards which have been rated 'High' or 'Acute' risk ratings in this assessment relate to the operation of the plant rather than to this static risk assessment. For the purposes of the ratings provided, it is assumed that that operators will have appropriate high level controls in place. These would include only being operated by qualified and competent operators who:
 - operate the plant in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions & safe operating procedures
 - complete pre-start checklists
 - check and continually monitor site conditions for hazards to themselves and bystanders such as pedestrian

PLANT RISK ASSESSMENT MATRIX

Step 1:Determine Likelihood

What is the possibility that the effect will occur?

	Criteria	Description			
Almost certain	Expected in most circumstances	Effect is a common result			
Very Likely	Will probably occur in most circumstances	Effect is known to have occurred at this site or it has happened			
Unlikely	Could occur at some time	Effect is not likely to occur, operators have not heard of it happening			
Highly unlikely	May occur only in exceptional circumstances	Effect is practically impossible			

Step 3: Determine the risk score

\mathbb{C}	21	7		al	П	7	'nΥ	2	Ξ

		Consequence		
Likelihood	Insignificant	Minor	Major	Extreme
Very Likely	3 High	3 High	4 Acute	4 Acute
Likely	2 Moderate	2 Moderate	4 Acute	4 Acute
Unlikely	1 Low	1 Low	3 High	4 Acute
Highly Unlikely	1 Low	1 Low	3 High	3 High

Step 2:Determine Consequence

What will be the expected effect?

Level of Effect	Example of each level
Insignificant/ Acceptable	No effect – or so minor that effect is acceptable
Minor Injury	First Aid treatment only; no lost time injury
Major Injury	Hospital admittance; extensive injuries; lost time injury > 7 days; Permanent Total Disability injury; death
Extreme Injury	Multiple Permanent Total Disability injuries; death or multiple deaths

Step 4: Record risk score on worksheet

Note – Risk scores have no absolute value and should only be used for comparison and to engender discussion.

Score	Action
4 A: Acute	DO NOT PROCEED. Requires immediate attention. Introduce further high-level controls to lower the risk level. Re-assess before proceeding.
3 H: High	Review before commencing work. Introduce new controls and/or maintain high-level controls to lower the risk level. Monitor frequently to ensure control measures are working.
2 M: Moderate	Maintain control measures. Proceed with operating plant. Monitor and review regularly, or if operating procedures change.
1 L: Low	Record and monitor Proceed with work. Review regularly, and if the plant o safe operating procedures change.