

MATKO HIRE PLANT RISK ASSESSMENT - JLG 26 RT Scissor Lift

Completed by:	Steve Laidla	v, OHS Servic	es :		Date: 21.3.23		
Owner of plant							
Owner's repres	Owner's representative present: Chris Wright						
Role: Office M	lanager						
Location addre	ess: 1101 – 1	107 Raglan Pa	arade, Warrnambool Vid	3280			
Plant/Equipme	nt name : Scis	ssor Lift					
Make/Descript	Make/Description: JLG, 26 RT						
Serial number:	NA		Date of purcha	ase: Approx	x. 2015		
Registration Re	equired: No	Registra	ation: NA	Reg Expir	ry Date: NA		
Operator's train	ning/licence red	quirements: Mu	ust be fully competent to	o operate			
Manufacturer's available: Yes	Handbook	Location	n: In office or online		ance/Service Agreement: 'es		
If Yes, servicin	g company's n	ame: Warrnar	mbool Hydraulics, Warr	nambool			
Maintenance Frequency: 4 monthly & annual servicing & testing							
DATE DESCRIPTION OF SERVICE							
	Logbook ke	ept on scissor	lift				
	Ţ,	•					
	Records ke	ept in office or	by Warrnambool Hydra	ulics			
Is there a docu	mented Safe C	Operating Proc	edure? Yes - Manufac	turer's Ope	erator's Manual		
Noise Assessn			oddioi roo manara	italioi o opt	rater e mariaar		
Date	Level dBA	dBC		Comme	nt		
					-		
			EMERGENCY SYS				
mazara warnin	Hazard warning stickers on surfaces, Emergency stop buttons, Fire extinguisher						
		CUR	RENT GUARDING				
Engine fully gu	arded						

POSSIBLE HAZARD TYPES	LIKEL	IHOOD OF	OCCURR	ENCE	POSSI	BLE CO	NSEQUE	NCE	RISK RATING			
	Highly Unlikely	Unlikely	Likely	Very Likely	Insignificant	Minor Injury	Major Injury	Extreme	Low	Moderate	High	Acute
1. Entanglement												
1.1 Can any materials become entangled with moving parts of the plant	✓				✓				✓			
2. Crushing												
2.1 Can anyone be crushed due to :												
a. Material falling off plant	NA				NA				NA			
b. Unexpected movement of plant		✓					✓				✓	
c. Lack of capacity for plant to be slowed or stopped	NA				NA				NA			
d. The plant tipping or rolling over		✓						✓				✓
e. Part of the plant collapsing	✓				✓				✓			
f. coming in contact with moving part of the plant during testing, operation etc.	NA				NA				NA			
g. being thrown off or under plant		✓					✓				✓	
h. being trapped between plant & materials or fixed structures		√					✓				✓	
3. Cutting, Stabbing & Puncturing												
3.1 Can anyone be cut, stabbed or punctured due to:												
a. coming in contact with moving parts of the plant, testing, operation etc.	NA				NA				NA			
b. coming in contact with sharp/flying objects	NA				NA				NA			
c. the plant, parts of or work pieces disintegrate	NA				NA				NA			
d. work pieces being ejected	NA				NA				NA			
e. the mobility of the plant	NA				NA				NA			
f. uncontrolled or unexpected movement of plant	NA				NA				NA			

POSSIBLE HAZARD 1	YPES	LIKELIHOOD OF OCCURRENCE		POSSIBLE CONSEQUENCE				RISK RATING					
		Highly Unlikely	Highly Unlikely Likely Very Likely			Insignificant	Minor Injury	Major Injury	Extreme	Low	Moderate	High	Acute
4. Shearing													
4.1 Can any body parts be sheared between two pathe plant5. Friction			√					✓				√	
5.1 Can anyone be burnt of contact with moving particles, or material haby plant 6. Striking	irts or	√					√			√			
6.1 Can anyone be struck	by												
a. uncontrolled or unexperiment of the plan	: ected t		√				√			√			
 b. the plant, parts of or w pieces disintegrating 		✓					✓			✓			
work pieces being eject d. mobility of the plant	cted	NA	√			NA		√		NA		√	
7. High Pressure Fluid			√					√				✓	
7.1 Can anyone come into with fluids under high p due to plant failure or r	ressure,		√				✓			√			
8. Electrical													
8.1 Can anyone be injured electrical shock or burr to:													
a. the plant contacting liv electrical conductors	е			✓					✓				✓
b. the plant working too conductors	lose to			✓					✓				✓
c. overload of electrical c		✓	_			✓				✓			
d. damaged or poorly ma leads and cables			✓			√				√			
e. damaged electrical sw	itches		✓			√				√			
f. water near electrical equipment		NA				NA				NA			
g. lack of isolation proced	dures	NA				NA				NA			

POSSIBLE HAZARD TYPES		LIKEL	HOOD OF	OCCURR	ENCE	POSSIBLE CONSEQUENCE			RISK RATING				
		Highly Unlikely	Unlikely	Likely	Very Likely	Insignificant	Minor Injury	Major Injury	Extreme	Low	Moderate	High	Acute
9. Explos	sion			-									
explosi liquids, substar operati materia Only if service	nyone be injured by ion of gases, vapours, dusts or other inces, triggered by the ion of the plant or by al handled by the plant. ruptured or struck es eg. Gas pipeline	NA				NA				NA			
10. Slippii Falling	ing, Tripping and												
10.1 Can ar or in th slip, tri	nyone using the plant, he vicinity of the plant, ip or fall due to: n or slippery work												
surface	es		✓						✓				✓
spillage	ousekeeping, e.g. e not cleaned up		✓						✓				✓
c. obstac	cles placed in the vicinity plant		✓						✓				✓
10.2 Can aidue to	nyone fall from height o:												•
a. lack of	a proper platform		✓						✓				✓
	proper stairs or ladders	NA				NA				NA			
c. lack of protect	guardrails or other edge tion		✓						✓				✓
penetra	ected holes, ations or gaps	NA				NA				NA			
e.g. no	oor or walking surfaces, ot slip resistant		✓						✓				✓
-	walking surfaces	NA				NA				NA			
g. collaps structu	se of the supporting Ire		✓						✓				✓

POSSIBLE HAZARD TYPES LIKEL		HOOD OF	OCCURR	ENCE	POSS	IBLE CO	NSEQUE	NCE		RISK RATING		
	Highly Unlikely	Unlikely	Likely	Very Likely	Insignificant	Minor Injury	Major Injury	Extreme	Low	Moderate	High	Acute
11. Ergonomic										_		
11.1 Can anyone be injured due to:												
a. poorly designed seating	NA											
b. repetitive body movement		✓				✓				✓		
c. constrained body posture, e.g. excessive effort		✓				✓				✓		
d. design deficiency causing mental stress	NA											

12. Other information

How is the plant cleaned?

- In accordance with manufacturer's instructions

Do guards have to be removed to clean the plant?

No

Are there any reasonably foreseeable abnormal operating conditions? (e.g. jam ups)

- Excessively uneven or soft ground conditions may cause plant to fall over, however

Other comments / notes

- Fall arrest harnesses supplied with plant are checked 6 monthly by Lifting Victoria
- Rust is evident on the platforms guard rails which is to be repaired by Matko Hire
- All hazards identified in this assessment, including 'High' or 'Acute' risk ratings, relate to the operation of the plant. For the
 purposes of the ratings provided, it is assumed that that the operators will have appropriate high level controls in place. These
 would include only being operated by qualified and competent operators who:
 - operate the plant in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions & safe operating procedures
 - complete pre-start checklists
 - ensure the plant is well maintained and regularly serviced
 - check and continually monitor site conditions for hazards to themselves and bystanders such as pedestrians
 - ensure that during operations, all pedestrians or 'bystanders' are kept outside the operating danger zone of the plant
 - immediately shut down the plant in the event of a breakdown which requires repair, and that if ignored could escalate into a major safety incident
 - Wear appropriate personal protective clothing & equipment (fall arrest harness)

PLANT RISK ASSESSMENT MATRIX

Step 1:Determine Likelihood

What is the possibility that the effect will occur?

	Criteria	Description
Very likely	Expected in most circumstances	Effect is a common result
Likely	Will probably occur in most circumstances	Effect is known to have occurred at this site or it has happened
Unlikely	Could occur at some time	Effect is not likely to occur, operators have not heard of it happening
Highly unlikely	May occur only in exceptional circumstances	Effect is practically impossible

Step 3: Determine the risk score

0					
Col	0.15		ш		6

	Consequence									
Likelihood	Insignificant	Minor	Major	Extreme						
Very Likely	3 High	3 High	4 Acute	4 Acute						
Likely	2 Moderate	2 Moderate	4 Acute	4 Acute						
Unlikely	1 Low	1 Low	3 High	4 Acute						
Highly Unlikely	1 Low	1 Low	3 High	3 High						

Step 2:Determine Consequence

What will be the expected effect?

Level of Effect	Example of each level
Insignificant/ Acceptable	No effect – or so minor that effect is acceptable
Minor Injury	First Aid treatment only; no lost time injury
Major Injury	Hospital admittance; extensive injuries; lost time injury > 7 days; Permanent Total Disability injury; death
Extreme Injury	Multiple Permanent Total Disability injuries; death or multiple deaths

Step 4: Record risk score on worksheet

Note – Risk scores have no absolute value and should only be used for comparison and to engender discussion.

Score	Action
4 A: Acute	DO NOT PROCEED. Requires immediate attention. Introduce further high-level controls to lower the risk level. Re-assess before proceeding.
3 H: High	Review before commencing work. Introduce new controls and/or maintain high-level controls to lower the risk level. Monitor frequently to ensure control measures are working.
2 M: Moderate	Maintain control measures. Proceed with operating plant. Monitor and review regularly, or if safe operating procedures change.
1 L: Low	Record and monitor Proceed with work. Review regularly, or if safe operating procedures change.