

MATKO HIRE

PLANT RISK ASSESSMENT – Bobcat Mini Track Loader MT 100

Completed by: Steve Laidlaw, OHS Services : Date: 6 February 2024						
Owner of plant/equipment: Matko	Hire					
Owners representative present: C	Chris Smith					
Role: Manager						
Location address: 1101 – 1107 Ra	glan Parade, Wa	rrnambool Vic 3	280			
Plant/Equipment name : Mini Trac	ck Loader MT 10	0				
Make/Description: Bobcat Comp	any					
Serial number: NA		Date of purcha	ase: Decei	mber 2023		
Registration Required: NA	Registration No	: NA	Reg Exp	ry Date: N/A		
Operator's training/licence requirer	nents: Must be fu	Illy competent &	qualified	to operate		
Manufacturer's Handbook available: YesLocation: Main officeMaintenance/Service Agreement: NA						
If Yes, servicing company's name: NA -Serviced internally						
Maintenance Frequency: Every 250 (minor) & 500hrs (major)						

DATE	DESCRIPTION OF SERVICE
	Service records held by Matko Hire

Is there a documented Safe Operating Procedure? Yes - Manufacturer's Operator's Manual

Noise Assessment completed? No

Date	Level dBA	dBC	Comment
			See manufacturer's information
			Hearing protection recommended

CURRENT EMERGENCY SYSTEM

Releasing operation levers stops machine

Hazard warning stickers on external surfaces

CURRENT GUARDING

Engine & exhaust guarding

POSSIBLE HAZARD TYPES	LIKEL	IHOOD OF	OCCURR	ENCE	POSS	BLE CO	NSEQUE	NCE		RISK RA	TING	
	Highly Unlikely	Unlikely	Likely	Very Likely	Insignificant	Minor Injury	Major Injury	Extreme	Low	Moderate	High	Acute
1. Entanglement											-	
1.1 Can any materials become entangled with moving parts of the plant	~				~				>			
2. Crushing												
2.1 Can anyone be crushed due to:												
a. Material falling off plant	>				~				>			
b. Unexpected movement of plant		~					~				~	
c. Lack of capacity for plant to be slowed or stopped		~				~			>			
d. The plant tipping or rolling over		~				~			•			
e. Part of the plant collapsing	~				~				>			
f. coming in contact with moving part of the plant during testing, operation etc.	~				~				•			
g. being thrown off or under plant		~					~				~	
h. being trapped between plant & materials or fixed structures		~					~				~	
3. Cutting, Stabbing & Puncturing												
3.1 Can anyone be cut, stabbed or punctured due to:										·		·
a. coming in contact with moving parts of the plant testing, operation etc.		~					~				~	
 coming in contact with sharp/flying objects 	~					~			>			
c. the plant, parts of or work pieces disintegrate	*					~			>			
d. work pieces being ejected	~				~				>			
e. the mobility of the plant		~					~				~	
f. uncontrolled or unexpected movement of plant		~					•				~	

POSSIBLE HAZARD TYPES	LIKEL	IHOOD OF	OCCURR	ENCE	POSS	BLE CC	NSEQUE	NCE		RISK RA	TING	
	Highly Unlikely	Unlikely	Likely	Very Likely	Insignificant	Minor Injury	Major Injury	Extreme	Low	Moderate	High	Acute
4. Shearing		-	-									
 4.1 Can any body parts be sheared between two parts of the plant 5. Friction 	~				~				~			
5.1 Can anyone be burnt due to contact with moving parts or surfaces, or material handled by plant	•				~				>			
6. Striking												
6.1 Can anyone be struck by moving objects due to:				1			r			[1	
a. uncontrolled or unexpected movement of plant		✓					~				~	
b. the plant, parts off or work pieces disintegrate	~				>				>			
c. work pieces being ejected		✓				~			~			
d. mobility of the plant		~					~		~		~	
7. High Pressure Fluid												
7.1 Can anyone come into contact with fluids under high pressure, due to plant failure or misuse.		•				~			>			
8. Electrical												
8.1 Can anyone be injured by electrical shock or burnt due to:												
a. the plant contacting live electrical conductors		~					~				~	
b. the plant working too close to electrical conductors		~					~				~	
c. overload of electrical circuits	~				>				>			
d. damaged or poorly maintained leads and cables	~				>				>			
e. damaged electrical switches	~				>				>			
f. water near electrical equipment	~				>				>			
g. lack of isolation procedures	~				>				>			

Ρ	OSSIBLE HAZARD TYPES	LIKEL	IHOOD OF	OCCURR	ENCE	POSS	IBLE CO	NSEQUE	NCE		RISK RA	TING	
		Highly Unlikely	Unlikely	Likely	Very Likely	Insignificant	Minor Injury	Major Injury	Extreme	Low	Moderate	High	Acute
9.	Explosion			-								Ī	
	Can anyone be injured by explosion of gases, vapours, liquids, dusts or other substances, triggered by the operation of the plant or by material handled by the plant. Only if ruptured or stuck services eg. Gas pipeline		~					~				~	
	Slipping, Tripping and ling												
	1 Can anyone using the plant, or in the vicinity of the plant, slip, trip or fall due to:					-							
a.	uneven or slippery work surfaces		~				~			~			
b.	poor housekeeping, e.g. spillage not cleaned up	N/A											
C.	obstacles placed in the vicinity of the plant	N/A											
10.	2 Can anyone fall from a height due to:												
a.	lack of a proper platform	N/A											
b.	lack of proper stairs or	N/A											
C.	ladders	N/A											
d.	lack of guardrails or other edge protection	N/A											
e.	unprotected holes, penetrations or gaps	N/A											
f.	poor floor or walking surfaces, e.g. slip resistant	N/A											
g.	steep walking surfaces	N/A											
h.	collapse of the supporting structure	N/A											

POSSIBLE HAZARD TYPES	LIKEL	LIKELIHOOD OF OCCURRENCE		POSS	POSSIBLE CONSEQUENCE			RISK RATING				
	Highly Unlikely	Unlikely	Likely	Very Likely	Insignificant	Minor Injury	Major Injury	Extreme	Low	Moderate	High	Acute
11. Ergonomic							-					-
11.1 Can anyone be injured due to:												
a. poorly designed seating	NA											
b. repetitive body movement			~			~				~		
c. constrained body posture, e.g. excessive effort	NA											
d.designed deficiency causing mental stress	NA											

12. Other information

How is the plant cleaned?
- In accordance with manufacturer's instructions
eg. High pressure water cleaner
Do guards have to be removed to clean the plant? No
Are there any reasonably foreseeable abnormal operating conditions? (e.g. jam ups)
 Operating on steep or slippery slopes increases the likelihood of rollover
- Variable soil and and / or environmental conditions
 Striking underground services Transported on trailer with manually lifted ramps: potentially a moderate manual handling hazard
- Transported on trailer with manually lifted ramps, potentially a moderate manual handling hazard
Other comments / notes:
- Standard attachments: Bucket, chain trencher & auger
- Rubber tracks
- Transported on trailer with attachments. Has tie down chains & loading ramps which are potentially moderate manual handling
hazards
- Trailer has a safety handbrake for securing when unhitched
Plant is transported on a tandam trailer with manually folding loading ramps. A risk appagament of loading/uplagding was not
 Plant is transported on a tandem trailer with manually folding loading ramps. A risk assessment of loading/unloading was not completed. Operators must be competent to load and unload the plant. Attachments must always be left on for loading to balance
the machine when accessing on ramps to prevent it tipping. Machine must be secured satisfactorily. Regular checks should be
made of tie-down straps.
- Operators should take regular breaks to prevent the constant machine movement causing repetitive strain or musculoskeletal
injuries.
- Those hazards which have been rated 'High' or 'Acute' risk ratings in this assessment relate to the operation of the plant rather
than to this static risk assessment. For the purposes of the ratings provided, it is assumed that that operators will have appropriate
high level controls in place. These would include only being operated by qualified and competent operators who :
- operate the plant in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions & safe operating procedures
- complete pre-start checklists
- check and continually monitor site conditions for hazards to themselves and bystanders such as pedestrian

PLANT RISK ASSESSMENT MATRIX

Step 1:Determine Likelihood

What is the possibility that the effect will occur?

	Criteria	Description
Almost certain	Expected in most circumstances	Effect is a common result
Very Likely	Will probably occur in most circumstances	Effect is known to have occurred at this site or it has happened
Unlikely	Could occur at some time	Effect is not likely to occur, operators have not heard of it happening
Highly unlikely	May occur only in exceptional circumstances	Effect is practically impossible

Step 3: Determine the risk score

	Consequence					
Likelihood	Insignificant	Minor	Major	Extreme		
Very Likely	3 High	3 High	4 Acute	4 Acute		
Likely	2 Moderate	2 Moderate	4 Acute	4 Acute		
Unlikely	1 Low	1 Low	3 High	4 Acute		
Highly Unlikely	1 Low	1 Low	3 High	3 High		

Step 2:Determine Consequence

What will be the expected effect?

Level of Effect	Example of each level
Insignificant/ Acceptable	No effect – or so minor that effect is acceptable
Minor Injury	First Aid treatment only; no lost time injury
Major Injury	Hospital admittance; extensive injuries; lost time injury > 7 days; Permanent Total Disability injury; death
Extreme Injury	Multiple Permanent Total Disability injuries; death or multiple deaths

Step 4: Record risk score on worksheet

Note – Risk scores have no absolute value and should only be used for comparison and to engender discussion.

Score	Action
4 A: Acute	DO NOT PROCEED. Requires immediate attention. Introduce further high-level controls to lower the risk level. Re-assess before proceeding.
3 H: High	Review before commencing work. Introduce new controls and/or maintain high-level controls to lower the risk level. Monitor frequently to ensure control measures are working.
2 M: Moderate	Maintain control measures. Proceed with operating plant. Monitor and review regularly, or if operating procedures change.
1 L: Low	Record and monitor Proceed with work. Review regularly, and if the plant o safe operating procedures change.