

MATKO HIRE PLANT RISK ASSESSMENT - Core Drill

Completed by:	Steve Laidla	Steve Laidlaw, OHS Services : Date: 18.1.23							
Owner of plant/equipment: Matko Hire									
Owner's representative present: Chris Smith									
Role: Director									
Location address: 1101 – 1107 Raglan Parade, Warrnambool Vic 3280									
Plant/Equipme	nt name: Cor	e Drill							
Make/Description: Milwaukee Core Drill MXF 301 2CP (with stand, battery powered)									
Serial number:	Serial number: NA Date of purchase: NK								
Registration Re	equired: No	Registra	ation: NA	Reg Expiry Date: NA					
Operator's train	ning/licence re	quirements: Mu	ust be fully competent	& qualified to operate					
Manufacturer's available: Yes	Handbook	Location	n: Office /website	Maintenance/Service Agreement: No					
If Yes, servicin	g company's n	ame: NA -Ser	viced internally						
Maintenance F	requency: As r	equired or acc	ording to manufacture	r's instructions					
DATE			DESCRIPTION OF	SERVICE					
	Servicing & maintenance completed by the company's mechanic.								
Is there a documented Safe Operating Procedure? Yes - Manufacturer's Operator's Manual Noise Assessment completed? No									
Date	Level dBA	dBC	See manufacturer's i	Comment					
			Hearing protection re						
		CURRENT	EMERGENCY SYS	STEM					
NA									
		21							
NA		CUR	RENT GUARDING						
11/7									

POSSIBLE HAZARD TYPES			POSSIBLE CONSEQUENCE			RISK RATING						
	Highly Unlikely	Unlikely	Likely	Very Likely	Insignificant	Minor Injury	Major Injury	Extreme	Low	Moderate	High	Acute
1. Entanglement	-											
1.1 Can any materials become entangled with moving parts of the plant			√				√				✓	
2. Crushing												
2.1 Can anyone be crushed due to :												
a. Material falling off plant	NA				NA				NA			
b. Unexpected movement of plant	NA				NA				NA			
c. Lack of capacity for plant to be slowed or stopped	NA				NA				NA			
d. The plant tipping or rolling over	NA				NA				NA			
e. Part of the plant collapsing	NA				NA				NA			
f. coming in contact with moving part of the plant during testing, operation etc.	NA				NA				NA			
g. being thrown off or under plant	NA				NA				NA			
h. being trapped between plant & materials or fixed structures	NA				NA				NA			
3. Cutting, Stabbing & Puncturing												
3.1 Can anyone be cut, stabbed or punctured due to:												
coming in contact with moving parts of the plant, testing, operation etc.		√				✓			√			
b. coming in contact with sharp/flying objects			√			✓				✓		
c. the plant, parts of or work pieces disintegrate					NA				NA			
d. work pieces being ejected			✓				✓				✓	
e. the mobility of the plant	NA				NA				NA			
f. uncontrolled or unexpected movement of plant		✓					✓				√	

POSSIBLE HAZARD TYPES		LIKEL	IHOOD OF	OCCURR	ENCE	POSSIBLE CONSEQUENCE				RISK RATING			
		Highly Unlikely	Unlikely	Likely	Very Likely	Insignificant	Minor Injury	Major Injury	Extreme	Low	Moderate	High	Acute
4. Shearing													
4.1 Can any body p sheared between the plant5. Friction			√					✓				✓	
5.1 Can anyone be contact with mo surfaces, or ma by plant 6. Striking	ving parts or		√				√				√		
6.1 Can anyone be	etruck by												
moving objects	due to:											•	
 a. uncontrolled or movement of th 			✓				✓			\checkmark			
b. the plant, parts pieces disintegrated	of or work rating			✓				√				√	
c. work pieces be	• .				✓			√				✓	
7. High Pressure		NA				NA				NA			
7.1 Can anyone co with fluids unde due to plant fail	me into contact or high pressure,	NA				NA				NA			
8. Electrical													
8.1 Can anyone be electrical shock to:													
a. the plant contact electrical condu			✓						✓				✓
b. the plant working electrical condu	ng too close to uctors		✓						✓				✓
c. overload of elec		NA				NA				NA			
d. damaged or po leads and cable	es	NA				NA				NA			
e. damaged electr			✓				✓				✓		
f. water near elect equipment		NA				NA				NA			
g. lack of isolation	procedures	NA				NA				NA			

POSSIBLE HAZARD TYPES	LIKEL	HOOD OF	OCCURR	ENCE	POSS	IBLE CO	NSEQUE	NCE		RISK RA	TING	
	Highly Unlikely	Unlikely	Likely	Very Likely	Insignificant	Minor Injury	Major Injury	Extreme	Low	Moderate	High	Acute
9. Explosion												
9.1 Can anyone be injured by explosion of gases, vapours, liquids, dusts or other substances, triggered by the operation of the plant or by material handled by the plant. Only if ruptured or struck services eg. Gas pipeline	✓							√				✓
10. Slipping, Tripping and Falling												
10.1 Can anyone using the plant, or in the vicinity of the plant, slip, trip or fall due to:	Not App	olicable										
a. uneven or slippery work surfaces	NA				NA				NA			
b. poor housekeeping, e.g. spillage not cleaned up	NA				NA				NA			
c. obstacles placed in the vicinity of the plant	NA				NA				NA			
10.2 Can anyone fall from height due to:	NA			1								
a. lack of a proper platform	NA				NA				NA			
b. lack of proper stairs or ladders	NA				NA				NA			
c. lack of guardrails or other edge protection	NA				NA				NA			
d. unprotected holes, penetrations or gaps	NA				NA				NA			
e. poor floor or walking surfaces, e.g. not slip resistant	NA				NA				NA			
f. steep walking surfaces	NA				NA				NA			
g. collapse of the supporting structure	NA				NA				NA			

POSSIBLE HAZARD TYPES LIKELIHOOD OF OCCURRENCE		POSSIBLE CONSEQUENCE			RISK RATING							
	Highly Unlikely	Unlikely	Likely	Very Likely	Insignificant	Minor Injury	Major Injury	Extreme	Low	Moderate	High	Acute
11. Ergonomic												
11.1 Can anyone be injured due to:												
a. poorly designed seating	NA											
b. repetitive body movement			✓				✓				✓	
c. constrained body posture, e.g. excessive effort			✓				✓				✓	
d. design deficiency causing mental stress	NA											

12. Other information

How is the plant cleaned?

- In accordance with manufacturer's instructions

Do guards have to be removed to clean the plant?

Yes

Are there any reasonably foreseeable abnormal operating conditions? (e.g. jam ups)

- Attempting to drill excessively hard material

Other comments / notes:

- Operator is exposed to manual handling hazards & risk of inhalation of dangerous dust particles and eye damage from dust or ejected material assessed as 'high risk'
- Drill is mounted and operated from a stand to reduce manual handling hazards
- Safety feature:
 - Water is run through drill to supress dust
 - Wheels on front of saw under blade enable it to be rolled over cutting surface
- is that operator must use two hands two push two levers down to activate the splitter blade, aimed to negate one hand being potentially placed in the path of the blade
- Opening on top of drive shaft guard next to motor is potentially an entrapment hazard- Rated as high risk
- All hazards identified in this assessment, including 'High' or 'Acute' risk ratings, relate to the operation of the plant. For the
 purposes of the ratings provided, it is assumed that that the operators will have appropriate high level controls in place. These
 would include only being operated by qualified and competent operators who:
 - operate the plant in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions & safe operating procedures
 - complete pre-start checklists
 - ensure the plant is well maintained and regularly serviced
 - check and continually monitor site conditions for hazards to themselves and bystanders such as pedestrians
 - ensure that during operations, all pedestrians or 'bystanders' are kept outside the operating danger zone of the plant
 - immediately shut down the plant in the event of a breakdown which requires repair, and that if ignored could escalate into a major safety incident
 - Wear appropriate personal protective clothing & equipment must be worn

PLANT RISK ASSESSMENT MATRIX

Step 1:Determine Likelihood

What is the possibility that the effect will occur?

	Criteria	Description
Very likely	Expected in most circumstances	Effect is a common result
Likely	Will probably occur in most circumstances	Effect is known to have occurred at this site or it has happened
Unlikely	Could occur at some time	Effect is not likely to occur, operators have not heard of it happening
Highly unlikely	May occur only in exceptional circumstances	Effect is practically impossible

Step 3: Determine the risk score

		Consequence		
Likelihood	Insignificant	Minor	Major	Extreme
Very Likely	3 High	3 High	4 Acute	4 Acute
Likely	2 Moderate	2 Moderate	4 Acute	4 Acute
Unlikely	1 Low	1 Low	3 High	4 Acute
Highly Unlikely	1 Low	1 Low	3 High	3 High

Step 2:Determine Consequence

What will be the expected effect?

Level of Effect	Example of each level
Insignificant/ Acceptable	No effect – or so minor that effect is acceptable
Minor Injury	First Aid treatment only; no lost time injury
Major Injury	Hospital admittance; extensive injuries; lost time injury > 7 days; Permanent Total Disability injury; death
Extreme Injury	Multiple Permanent Total Disability injuries; death or multiple deaths

Step 4: Record risk score on worksheet

Note – Risk scores have no absolute value and should only be used for comparison and to engender discussion.

Score	Action
4 A: Acute	DO NOT PROCEED. Requires immediate attention. Introduce further high-level controls to lower the risk level. Re-assess before proceeding.
3 H: High	Review before commencing work. Introduce new controls and/or maintain high-level controls to lower the risk level. Monitor frequently to ensure control measures are working.
2 M: Moderate	Maintain control measures. Proceed with operating plant. Monitor and review regularly, or if safe operating procedures change.
1 L: Low	Record and monitor Proceed with work. Review regularly, or if safe operating procedures change.